

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,497	04/21/2004	Marcel Naas	741439-13	4289
22204 NIXON PEAF	22204 7590 01/21/2009 NIXON PEABODY, LLP		EXAMINER	
401 9TH STREET, NW			MERCHANT, SHAHID R	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/828,497 NAAS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SHAHID R. MERCHANT 3692 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.7.12.18.23.28.39 and 59 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-73 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/828,497 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

- This action is in response to the request for continued examination filed on November 7, 2008.
 - Claims 1-73 are pending.
 - Claims 2, 7, 12, 18, 23, 28, 39 and 59 have been cancelled.
 - Claims 1, 3, 5, 11, 13-17, 19-21, 24-25, 27, 29-33, 37, 42, 44-45, 47, 49-53, 60-62, 64-65 and 69-73 have been amended.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Examiner requires Applicant election for further prosecution. Per 37 C.F.R.
- 1.142(a), "[s]uch requirement will normally be made before any action on the merits; however, it may be made at any time before final action." Further, MPEP 811 states "...the examiner should make a proper requirement as early as possible in the
- prosecution, in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops."
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-17, 19-22, 24-27 and 29-32, drawn to repo basket transactions, classified in class 705, subclass 36R. Examiner notes that claims 1, 3-6, 8-11 and 13-16 are system claims, claims 17, 19-22, 24-27 and 29-31 are method claims and claim 32 is a computer readable medium claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,497 Page 3

Art Unit: 3692

II. Claims 33-38, 40-58 and 60-73, drawn to a resource management system for controlling the transfer of resources, classified in class 705, subclass 35. Examiner notes that claims 33-38 and 40-52 are system claims, claims 53-58 and 60-72 are method claims and claim 73 is a computer readable medium claim.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions I and II are directed to related systems. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are mutually exclusive and not obvious variants. Invention I involves "receiving repo quotes from market participants", "negotiating a repo transaction", "generating settlement instructions" and "allocating at least one specific security that meet the security basket definition." On the other hand, Invention II involves "receiving transfer instructions specifying a transfer of a group of resources by providing a definition of at least one class of resources" and "allocating specific resources for said transfer that meet the definition." Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- In addition, Applicant states on page 15 in the <u>Remarks section</u> filed on
 November 7, 2008, "The invention, as recited in claims 1-32, relates to repo basket

Art Unit: 3692

transactions. The invention as recited in claims 33-73 relates generally to the transfer of resources." (see page 15, lines 4-6)

- 6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,497

Art Unit: 3692

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Applicant's Attorney, Marc Kaufman, on January
 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, however
 Applicant's Attorney was not available and therefore an oral election was not made.

Art Unit: 3692

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAHID R. MERCHANT whose telephone number is (571)270-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz P. Abdi can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shahid R Merchant/ Examiner, Art Unit 3692 /Kambiz Abdi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3692